

SOPHIE velocimetry of *Kepler* **transit candidates:** a joint photometric, spectroscopic and dynamical analysis of the Kepler-117 system

G. Bruno¹, J.-M. Almenara¹, S. C. C. Barros¹, A. Santerne^{1,2}, R. F. Diaz^{1,5}, M. Deleuil¹, A. S. Bonomo⁶, I. Boisse¹, F. Bouchy¹, G. Hébrard^{3,4} and G. Montagnier^{3,4}

giovanni.bruno@lam.fr

We present the analysis of the multi-planetary system Kepler-117, which is part of our program of observations of Kepler planets. This system is composed of a ~30 Earth-mass planet in a ~ 19 days orbit and a ~ 2 Jupiter-mass planet orbiting in ~ 51 days. Both the orbits have low eccentricity. The planets are not close to an exact loworder mean motion resonance, but exhibit significant transit timing variations (TTVs) nevertheless. We perform a combined Markov Chain Monte Carlo fit on all the available data: the *Kepler* photometry, the TTVs, the radial velocities we obtained with SOPHIE/OHP and the stellar parameters. The prime result is that the modelling of the TTVs allows to increase the precision on the system parameters which are not constrained by the radial velocities alone.

Context

- Multiple planet systems offer insights on their dynamical history (e.g. Batygin & Morbidelli 2013) and can show transit timing variations (TTVs: Agol et al. 2005).

- **TTVs** are a powerful tool for

1) the detection of non-transiting planets

2) the determination of planetary masses (e.g. Holman et al. 2010)

3) tracking stellar activity (e.g. Barros et al. 2013).

- Unaccounted-for TTVs cause an underestimation of the uncertainty on the stellar density derived from the photometry (Kipping 2014).

- The planets Kepler-117 b and c have been validated by Rowe et al. (2014) with a confidence level of more than 99%, after passing several tests for the identification of false positives.

- Kepler-117 has been observed in the context of our **program of** ground-based follow-up of Kepler planetary candidates (e.g. Bouchy et al. 2011, see poster P1.21).

Method

- Transit times fitted with a procedure similar to the one discussed in Barros et al. (2011).

- The photometry, the radial velocities and the stellar parameters are jointly fitted with the Bayesianoriented Planet Analysis and Small Transit Investigation Software (**PASTIS**: Díaz et al. 2014).

- The parameters posteriors have been sampled with a Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm. The TTVs modelling has been included, as well, using the orbital dynamics software mercury (Chambers 1999).



<u>Data</u>

- *Kepler* photometry, from quarter 1 to 3 (long cadence data, 29.4 minutes) and from 4 to 17 (short cadence, 58.5 seconds).

- Radial velocities obtained with the SOPHIE spectrograph at the Observatoire de Haute-Provence. 15 spectra acquired between 2012 and 2013 (high efficiency mode, spectral resolution \approx 39000).

- **Spectrum of the host star** obtained by removing the Doppler shifts for the radial velocity spectra. Stellar **atmospheric parameters** derived with the VWA package (e.g. Bruntt e. al. 2010).

Stellar parameters

T _{eff} [K] Metallicity [Fe/H]	6150 ± 110 -0.04 ± 0.10	
Derived $\log g$	4.10 ± 0.02	
Stellar density ρ_{\star} [ρ_{\odot}]	$0.29^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$	
Stellar mass $[M_{\odot}]$	$1.13_{-0.02}^{+0.13}$	
Stellar radius $[R_{\odot}]$	1.61 ± 0.05	
Planet parameters	Kepler-117b	Kepler-117c
Orbital period P [days]	$18.80 \pm 7.5 \times 10^{-6}$	$50.79 \pm 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$
Orbital eccentricity e	0.05 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.003
Planet mass M_p [M _J]	0.09 ± 0.03	1.84 ± 0.18
Planet radius $R_p[\mathbf{R}_J]$	0.72 ± 0.02	1.10 ± 0.04
Planet density $\rho_p [g \ cm^{-3}]$	0.30 ± 0.11	1.74 ± 0.18

Figure 1. *Top:* phase-folded plot of the best transit model of planet b (left) and c (right), over the short cadence data. In black the model, in red the data binned every hundredth of orbital phase. *Centre:* the same for the radial velocities. *Bottom:* The TTVs of planet b (left) and those of planet c (right). For each plot, the lower panel shows the residuals as observed minus calculated (O-C) points.

Table 1: Kepler-117 main parameters

References

Agol, E., Steffen, J., Sari, R., & Clarkson, W. 2005, MNRAS, 359, 567 Barros, S. C. C., Boué, G., Gibson, N. P., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 430, 3032 Batygin, K. & Morbidelli, A. 2013, AJ, 145, 1 Bouchy, F., Bonomo, A. S., Santerne, A., et al. 2011, A&A, 533, A83 Bruntt, H., Bedding, T. R., Quirion, P.-O., et al. 2010a, MNRAS, 405, 1907 Chambers, J. E. 1999, MNRAS, 304, 793 Díaz, R. F., Almenara, J. M., Santerne, A., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 441, 983

Kipping, D. M. 2014, MNRAS, 440, 2164

Rowe, J. F., Bryson, S. T., Marcy, G. W., et al. 2014, ApJ, 784, 45

Results

- The system exhibits significant TTVs even if the period ratio is not close to an exact low-order mean motion resonance.

- The inclusion of the TTVs in the combined fit allows to fit parameters that are poorly constrained with **the RVs only** (table 1 – Bruno et al., submitted).

the mass of planet b, whose radial velocity amplitude (~11 m/s) is close to the limit of efficiency of SOPHIE for faint magnitudes (fig.1, middle-left plot), is precisely determined.

- With a mass ratio close to 20 and similar radii, the two planets have considerably different densities.

¹ Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, LAM (Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille) UMR 7326, 13388, Marseille, France ² Centro de Astrofísica, Universidade do Porto, Rua das Estrelas, 4150-762 Porto, Portugal

³ Observatoire de Haute Provence, 04670 Saint Michel l'Observatoire, France

⁴ Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, UMR7095 CNRS, Université Pierre & Marie Curie, 98bis boulevard Arago, 75014 Paris, France

⁵ Observatoire Astronomique de l'Université de Genève, 51 chemin des Maillettes, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland ⁶ INAF - Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, via Osservatorio 20, 10025 Pino Torinese, Italy