

5<sup>th</sup> IBERIAN COSMOLOGY MEETING 30<sup>th</sup> March 2010, Porto, Portugal

Cosmological perturbations in f(R) theories

Álvaro de la Cruz-Dombriz

Theoretical Physics Department Complutense University of Madrid in collaboration with Antonio Dobado & Antonio López Maroto

# ON THIS TALK ...

#### I. Overview

- Motivation
- Usual analysis in general relativity + cosmological constant.

#### *II. Perturbed Einstein equations for f*(R) *theories*

- Background equations.
- Viability conditions for *f*(*R*) theories
- First order perturbed equations. General expressions.
- Sub-Hubble modes and quasi-static approximation.

### III. Applications

- Some viable vs. excluded f(R) models.
- Conclusions.

## I. OVERVIEW

#### $\blacktriangleright$ Accelerated expansion of the universe,

- usually explained through a **cosmological constant**  $\Lambda$ .
- more generically through a **Dark Energy** contribution.

Quintessence, braneworlds, Scalar-tensor theories, f(R) gravities... 

 $\succ$  It has been shown that f(R) functions can mimic any expansion history, in particular that of  $\Lambda$ CDM.

#### ACD & A. Dobado, PRD 74: 087501, 2006.

The exclusive use of observations from SNIa, baryon acoustic oscillations or CMB shift factor, are sensitive only to the cosmological expansion history, cannot settle the question of the dark energy nature.

Research on evolution of perturbations is required to determine and/or distinguish dark energy nature.

### CONVENTIONS

**Flat FLRW metric:** Conformal time + Longitudinal gauge  $ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta)[(1 + 2\Phi)d\eta^{2} - (1 - 2\Psi)(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega_{2}^{2})] \quad \Phi \equiv \Phi(\eta, \vec{x})$   $\Psi \equiv \Psi(\eta, \vec{x})$ 

Bardeen's potential for cosmological scalar perturbations

• Gravitational action: 
$$S_G = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} [R + f(R)]$$

• Density perturbation  $\delta$ :  $\delta \rho = -\rho_0 \delta$ 

 $\rho_0$  Unperturbed mean energy density  $\delta\rho = \rho - \rho_0$ 

### <u>GENERAL RELATIVITY + $\Lambda$ </u>

✓ Varying the **EH** +  $\Lambda$  action with respect to the metric, first order perturbed Einstein equations are found

 $\Phi = \Psi$ 

The two introduced potentials are the same.

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\frac{k^4 + 6\tilde{\rho}k^2 - 18\tilde{\rho}^2}{k^4 + \tilde{\rho}(3k^2 - 9\mathcal{H}^2)}\,\delta' - \tilde{\rho}\frac{k^4 + 9\tilde{\rho}(2\tilde{\rho} - 3\mathcal{H}^2) + k^2(9\tilde{\rho} - 3\mathcal{H}^2)}{k^4 + \tilde{\rho}(3k^2 - 9\mathcal{H}^2)}\,\delta \,=\,0$$

• Sub-Hubble modes  $k >> \mathcal{H}$ 

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\delta' - 4\pi G\rho_0 a^2\delta = 0$$

• Pure Einstein-Hilbert action

**δ =** a

 $\tilde{\rho} \equiv 4\pi G \rho_0 a^2 = -\mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2$ 

• EH +  $\Lambda$  action

$$\frac{\delta(a)}{a} \doteq e^{\int_{a_i}^a [\Omega_m(a)^\gamma - 1] \mathrm{d} \ln a}$$

E. Linder. **PRD 72: 043529, (2005).**  $\gamma = 6/11$ 

# II. EINSTEIN's EQUATIONS f(R) THEORIES

### BACKGROUND EQUATIONS

$$(1+f_R)R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(R+f(R))g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}f_R = -\kappa T_{\mu\nu}$$
$$f_R \equiv df(R)/dR$$

✓ FLRW metric:  $D_{\mu\nu} \equiv D_{\mu}D_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\Box$ 

$$3(1+f_R)\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{1}{2}(R+f(R)) - 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{R}f_{RR} = -8\pi G\,\rho_0$$

Third order differential equation in scale factor a !!

✓ It can be proven that any expansion history can be mimicked by a well-chosen f(R) model, in particular that of  $\Lambda$ CDM model .

ACD & A. Dobado, PRD 74: 087501, 2006.

#### VIABLE f(R) MODELS $f_{RR} \equiv df(R)/dR$ $f_{RR} \equiv d^2f(R)/dR^2$

L. Pogosian & A. Silvestri, PRD 77 023503, (2008).

- I.  $f_{RR} > 0$  for high curvatures W. Hu & I. Sawicki. PRD 76 064004, (2007). Classically stable high-curvature regime & existence of matter dominated phase.
- II.  $1+f_R > 0$  for all R. This condition ensures the effective Newton's constant to be positive at all times and the graviton energy to be positive.
- III.  $f_{\rm R} < 0$  ensures that ordinary General Relativity behavior is recovered at early times. Together with the condition  $f_{\rm RR} > 0$ , it implies that  $f_{\rm R}$  should be in the range  $-1 < f_{\rm R} < 0$ .
- IV.  $|f_{RR}| << 1$  at recent epochs. This is imposed by local gravity tests although it is still not clear what is the actual limit on this parameter. It also implies that the cosmological evolution at late times resembles that of  $\Lambda CDM$ .

## FIRST ORDER PERTURBED EQUATIONS (for dust matter)

May one ask if...

- Is still valid the process to reproduce an exact differential equation for  $\delta$  decoupled from the rest of perturbed quantities?
- Is the differential equation for  $\delta$  second order?
- Does  $\delta$  depend on the f(R) choosen model?
- For sub-Hubble scales, time derivatives of involved quantities are usually neglected (quasi-static approximation). Is that approximation rigorously valid?

#### ➢ BACKGROUND EINSTEIN EQUATIONS

Combining density & pressure equations

$$2(1+f_R)(-\mathcal{H}'+\mathcal{H}^2) + 2\mathcal{H}f'_R - f''_R = 8\pi G\rho_0(1+c_s^2)a^2$$



# DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION $[(\delta)]$

 $\beta_{4,f}\delta^{iv} + \beta_{3,f}\delta''' + (\alpha_{2,\rm EH} + \beta_{2,f})\delta'' + (\alpha_{1,\rm EH} + \beta_{1,f})\delta' + (\alpha_{0,\rm EH} + \beta_{0,f})\delta = 0$ 

• Coefficients are separated in

**<u>EH part :</u>** From linear part in gravitational action ( $\alpha$ 's)

<u>f(R) theory part</u>: From non-linear part in gravitational action ( $\beta$ 's). involves terms with  $f'_R$  and  $f''_R$ .

- $\delta^{iv}$  and  $\delta'''$  coefficients DO NOT have EH part.
- If f(R) theory part is removed, usual expressions for EH action with/without  $\Lambda$  are recovered.

### SOME COEFFICIENTS...

✓ For sub-Hubble modes, expansion in parameter  $\epsilon$  can be performed.

$$\kappa_i \equiv \mathcal{H}^{\prime(i)} / \mathcal{H}^{i+1} (i = 1, 2, 3)$$
  
$$\epsilon \equiv \mathcal{H} / k$$

 $\succ$  Coefficients for  $\delta$  term

Dimensionless parameters

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2,\text{EH}}^{(1)} &= 432(1+f_R) \begin{pmatrix} 0\mathcal{H}^2\epsilon^8 \\ -1 + \kappa_1 \end{pmatrix} (-2 + \kappa_2)^3 \\ \alpha_{2,\text{EH}}^{(2)} &= -1296(1+f_R)^{10}\mathcal{H}^2\epsilon^{10}(-1+\kappa_1)^2(-2+\kappa_2)^3 \\ \alpha_{2,\text{EH}}^{(3)} &= 3888(1+f_R)^{10}\mathcal{H}^2\epsilon^{12}(-1+\kappa_1)^2(-2+\kappa_2)^3 \\ \beta_{2,f}^{(1)} &\simeq 8f_R^4(1+f_R)^6f_1^4\mathcal{H}^2 \\ \beta_{2,f}^{(2)} &\simeq -88f_R^3f_1^3\mathcal{H}^2\epsilon^2(-2+\kappa_2) \\ \beta_{2,f}^{(3)} &\simeq 24f_R^2f_1^2\mathcal{H}^2\epsilon^4(-2+\kappa_2)(-28+2\kappa_1+13\kappa_2) \\ \beta_{2,f}^{(4)} &\simeq -72f_Rf_1\mathcal{H}^2\epsilon^6(-2+\kappa_2)^2(-14+4\kappa_1+5\kappa_2) \end{aligned}$$

### SUB-HUBBLE MODES EVOLUTION

 $\checkmark$  After strong simplifications in perturbed Einstein's equations by neglecting temporal derivatives of  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ , the equation for  $\delta$  in sub-Hubble regime becomes

$$\delta^{''} + \mathcal{H}\delta^{'} - \frac{1 + 4\frac{k^2}{a^2}\frac{f_{RR}}{1 + f_R}}{1 + 3\frac{k^2}{a^2}\frac{f_{RR}}{1 + f_R}}\frac{\tilde{\rho}\delta}{1 + f_R} = 0$$

**Quasi static approximation** 

A. Starobinsky et al., **PRL 85 2236, (2000).** 

- P. Zhang, **PRD 73 123504, (2006).**
- S. Tsujikawa, PRD 76 023514, (2007).
- A. Starobinsky JETP L.86:157-163, (2007).

### SUB-HUBBLE MODES EVOLUTION

 $\checkmark$  After strong simplifications in perturbed Einstein's equations by neglecting temporal derivatives of  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ , the equation for  $\delta$  in sub-Hubble regime becomes

$$\delta^{''} + \mathcal{H}\delta^{'} - \frac{1 + 4\frac{k^2}{a^2}\frac{f_{RR}}{1 + f_R}}{1 + 3\frac{k^2}{a^2}\frac{f_{RR}}{1 + f_R}}\frac{\tilde{\rho}\delta}{1 + f_R} = 0$$

A. Starobinsky et al., **PRL 85 2236, (2000).** 

- P. Zhang, **PRD 73 123504, (2006).**
- S. Tsujikawa, **PRD 76 023514, (2007).**
- A. Starobinsky JETP L.86:157-163, (2007).

#### **Quasi static approximation**

✓ Using our results, if first  $\alpha$  and first  $\beta$  are taken, then

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\delta' + \frac{(1+f_R)^5 \mathcal{H}^2(-1+\kappa_1)(2\kappa_1-\kappa_2) - \frac{16}{a^8} f_{RR}^4(\kappa_2-2)k^8 8\pi G\rho_0 a^2}{(1+f_R)^5(-1+\kappa_1) + \frac{24}{a^8} f_{RR}^4(1+f_R)(\kappa_2-2)k^8}\delta = 0$$

Note  $k^8$  difference in scale dependence so a rapid dominance of f(R) contribution ( $k^8$  difference instead  $k^2$  in Quasi-static approximation).

#### COMPARISON BETWEEN QUASI-STATIC APPROXIMATION & COMPLETE SUB-HUBBLE LIMIT



- This function accomplishes all conditions for f(R) except for IV, ie.  $|f_R|$  is not much smaller 1 today.

-Both evolutions are the same at early times, where **EH** contribution is dominant.

- Both f(R) approaches differ from **ACDM** predictions.

> At late times, quasi-static approximation FAILS to describe perturbations evolution.

✓ More careful derivations in sub-Hubble modes, keeping four  $\beta$  coefficients & first  $\alpha$  coefficients and considering

$$\mid f_R \mid \ll 1$$

Equation  $[(\delta)]$  becomes a second order differential equation

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\delta' - \frac{4}{3} \frac{\left(\frac{6f_{RR}k^2}{a^2} + \frac{9}{4}\right)^2 - \frac{81}{16} + \frac{9}{2}\frac{2\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{-2 + \kappa_2}}{\left(\frac{6f_{RR}k^2}{a^2} + \frac{5}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{25}{4} + 6\frac{-1 + \kappa_1}{-2 + \kappa_2}} (1 - \kappa_1)\mathcal{H}^2\delta = 0$$

where 
$$2\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 \approx -2 + \kappa_2 \approx -1 + \kappa_1$$

- ✓ In other words, for general *f*(*R*) functions the quasi-static approximation is not justified.
- ✓ However for those viable functions describing the present phase of accelerated expansion & satisfying local gravity tests, i.e.  $|f_R| \ll 1$ , it does give a correct description for the evolution of perturbations.

## III. APPLICATIONS: f(R) MODELS

L. Amendola et al., **PRD 75 083504 (2007).** 

I. Sawicki and W. Hu, PRD 75 127502, (2007).

 $f_A(R) = -4.3 R^{0.01}$ 

 $f_B(R) = (2.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ R}^{0.3} - 0.22)^{-1}$ 



> Quasi-static and exact evolutions are **indistinguishable** but different from  $\Lambda$ CDM at recent times.

### VIABLE MODELS: & DEPENDENCE



✓ Matter power spectrum

$$P_k^{f(R)} = T(k) P_k^{\Lambda \text{CDM}}$$

> Transfer function T(k) is k dependent. >  $P_k^{f(R)}$  is different from  $P_k^{\Lambda \text{CDM}}$ .



## CONCLUSIONS

- A completely general fourth order differential equation for  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$  have been obtained.
- This expression is independent of the *f*(*R*) theory and valid for any scale *k*.
- For **EH** with/without cosmological constant actions, wellknown results are recovered.
- Quasi-static equation was proved to DEPEND on the chosen f(R) and it is NOT always VALID in sub-Hubble modes.
- For any proposed f(R) models, obtained exact equation allows to rule non-viable models out.

Further details...

Phys. Rev. Lett. 103: 179001, 2009.
Phys. Rev. D77: 123515, 2008.