#### **Galaxies and Clusters**

#### The Large scale Structure of the Universe

#### Alain Blanchard

alain.blanchard@irap.omp.eu





Galaxies and Clusters – 2nd June 2014 – p.1/28

Structure of the universe on large scale:

### Structure of the universe on large scale: Newton...

#### Structure of the universe on large scale:

#### Newton...

#### XIXth century



#### Structure of the universe on large scale:

#### Newton...

#### XIXth century





#### Structure of the universe on large scale:

#### Newton...

#### XIXth century



#### Einstein Cosmological Principle

#### **Great debate**

## **Great debate** Nature of Nebulae



#### Shapley/Curtis

Galaxies and Clusters – 2nd June 2014 – p.3/28

## **Great debate** Nature of Nebulae



#### Shapley/Curtis

#### Solved by Hubble

Large scale structure of the Universe

Description of large scale structure of galaxies

- Description of large scale structure of galaxies
- Infer largescale structure of the contents

- Description of large scale structure of galaxies
- Infer largescale structure of the contents (baryons,

- Description of large scale structure of galaxies
- Infer largescale structure of the contents (baryons, DM,

- Description of large scale structure of galaxies
- Infer largescale structure of the contents (baryons, DM, DE,...)

- Description of large scale structure of galaxies
- Infer largescale structure of the contents (baryons, DM, DE,...)
- and their evolution.

# Physics of galaxy formation processes ↔ "Clustering"

Physics of galaxy formation processes ↔ "Clustering"

Cosmological parameters

Physics of galaxy formation processes ↔ "Clustering"

- Cosmological parameters
- Contents of the Universe

Physics of galaxy formation processes ↔ "Clustering"

- Cosmological parameters
- Contents of the Universe
- Physics of the early Universe

 galaxy distribution tends to be homogeneous on large scale

 galaxy distribution tends to be homogeneous on large scale

# Number counts:

 $\log(N(< m)) \propto 0.6m + \text{cste}$ 

 galaxy distribution tends to be homogeneous on large scale

# Number counts:

 $\log(N(< m)) \propto 0.6m + \text{cste}$ 

• does not garanty Roberston Walker...

Galaxies and Clusters – 2nd June 2014 – p.6/28

### Homogeneity

#### From galaxies number counts:



#### Distribution of galaxies = matter distribution.

Distribution of galaxies = matter distribution. 2D surveys : solid angle  $\Omega$ ,  $m_0$ 

 $m = M + 5.\log(D_L(z)) + 25 + k(z)$ 

k(z): k-correction, fluxes are measured in a fixed band. D in Mpc.

Distribution of galaxies = matter distribution. 2D surveys : solid angle  $\Omega$ ,  $m_0$ 

 $m = M + 5.\log(D_L(z)) + 25 + k(z)$ 

k(z): k-correction, fluxes are measured in a fixed band. D in Mpc.

• Zwicky: Schmidt plates, "Visual" magnitudes.

Distribution of galaxies = matter distribution. 2D surveys : solid angle  $\Omega$ ,  $m_0$ 

 $m = M + 5.\log(D_L(z)) + 25 + k(z)$ 

k(z): k-correction, fluxes are measured in a fixed band. D in Mpc.

- Zwicky: Schmidt plates, "Visual" magnitudes.
- A.P.M. : digitalized Schmidt plates.

Distribution of galaxies = matter distribution. 2D surveys : solid angle  $\Omega$ ,  $m_0$ 

 $m = M + 5.\log(D_L(z)) + 25 + k(z)$ 

k(z): k-correction, fluxes are measured in a fixed band. D in Mpc.

- Zwicky: Schmidt plates, "Visual" magnitudes.
- A.P.M. : digitalized Schmidt plates.
- Sloan telescope: CCD, all digital



## Some problems:



#### Some problems:

• Photometry

### **2D** surveys

#### Some problems:

- Photometry
- Galaxy (/stars) identification
#### Some problems:

- Photometry
- Galaxy (/stars) identification
- Galaxies are extended (in different ways)

#### Some problems:

- Photometry
- Galaxy (/stars) identification
- Galaxies are extended (in different ways)

Telescope time consuming.

#### access to distance:

$$v = cz = H_0 D$$

access to distance:

$$v = cz = H_0 D$$

actually  $(z \ll 1)$ :

$$v = H_0 D + v_{pec} \cos(\theta)$$

access to distance:

 $v = cz = H_0 D$ 

actually ( $z \ll 1$ ):

$$v = H_0 D + v_{pec} \cos(\theta)$$

So distances are often expressed in  $h^{-1}$ Mpc.

access to distance:

 $v = cz = H_0 D$ 

actually ( $z \ll 1$ ):

$$v = H_0 D + v_{pec} \cos(\theta)$$

So distances are often expressed in  $h^{-1}$ Mpc. Spectra are very demanding on telescope time... Typically 1 hour/spectrum.



#### 1980: CfA survey $\sim 2000$ galaxies

#### 1980: CfA survey $\sim 2000$ galaxies





#### 1990: CfA slice $\sim 2000$ galaxies

#### 1990: CfA slice $\sim 2000$ galaxies





Increase stat, increase scales: 2 in size,  $2^3$  in Volume,  $2^3$  in number...

Increase stat, increase scales: 2 in size,  $2^3$  in Volume,  $2^3$  in number...  $365 \text{ nights} = 365 \times 8 \text{ hours} \times 1/2$ 

Increase stat, increase scales: 2 in size,  $2^3$  in Volume,  $2^3$  in number... 365 nights =  $365 \times 8$  hours  $\times 1/2 \times 1/2$ 

Increase stat, increase scales: 2 in size,  $2^3$  in Volume,  $2^3$  in number... 365 nights =  $365 \times 8$  hours  $\times 1/2$   $\times 1/2$   $\times 1/2$ 

Increase stat, increase scales: 2 in size,  $2^3$  in Volume,  $2^3$  in number...  $365 \text{ nights} = 365 \times 8 \text{ hours} \times 1/2 \times 1/2 \times 1/2$ 365 h/year...

Increase stat, increase scales: 2 in size,  $2^3$  in Volume,  $2^3$  in number...  $365 \text{ nights} = 365 \times 8 \text{ hours} \times 1/2 \times 1/2 \times 1/2$  365 h/year...multi fiber systems 50 (1990) then 500 (2000)

Increase stat, increase scales: 2 in size,  $2^3$  in Volume,  $2^3$  in number...  $365 \text{ nights} = 365 \times 8 \text{ hours} \times 1/2 \times 1/2 \times 1/2$  365 h/year...multi fiber systems 50 (1990) then 500 (2000) Increase the field of view, dedicated telescopes.

Increase stat, increase scales: 2 in size,  $2^3$  in Volume,  $2^3$  in number...  $365 \text{ nights} = 365 \times 8 \text{ hours} \times 1/2 \times 1/2 \times 1/2$  365 h/year...multi fiber systems 50 (1990) then 500 (2000) Increase the field of view, dedicated telescopes.

• 2dF (APM) : 400 fibers/2deg/4m > 220 000 gal

Increase stat, increase scales: 2 in size,  $2^3$  in Volume,  $2^3$  in number...  $365 \text{ nights} = 365 \times 8 \text{ hours} \times 1/2 \times 1/2 \times 1/2$  365 h/year...multi fiber systems 50 (1990) then 500 (2000) Increase the field of view, dedicated telescopes.

- 2dF (APM) : 400 fibers/2deg/4m > 220 000 gal
- SDSS (Sloan) : 600 fibers/3deg/2m > 650 000 gal

Increase stat, increase scales: 2 in size,  $2^3$  in Volume,  $2^3$  in number...  $365 \text{ nights} = 365 \times 8 \text{ hours } \times 1/2 \times 1/2 \times 1/2$  365 h/year...multi fiber systems 50 (1990) then 500 (2000) Increase the field of view, dedicated telescopes.

- 2dF (APM) : 400 fibers/2deg/4m > 220 000 gal
- SDSS (Sloan) : 600 fibers/3deg/2m > 650 000 gal
- Euclid (in space) : 5000 spectra > 100 000 000 spectra

Increase stat, increase scales: 2 in size,  $2^3$  in Volume,  $2^3$  in number...  $365 \text{ nights} = 365 \times 8 \text{ hours } \times 1/2 \times 1/2 \times 1/2$  365 h/year...multi fiber systems 50 (1990) then 500 (2000) Increase the field of view, dedicated telescopes.

- 2dF (APM) : 400 fibers/2deg/4m > 220 000 gal
- SDSS (Sloan) : 600 fibers/3deg/2m > 650 000 gal
- Euclid (in space) : 5000 spectra > 100 000 000 spectra



 $\sim 2005$ : SDSS  $\sim 1000000$  galaxies

# **3D surveys** ~ 2005: SDSS ~ 1000000 galaxies





 $m < m_0, \Omega$ , or more complicated...

 $m < m_0, \Omega$ , or more complicated... A given galaxy (L or M) can be detected up to some maximum distance  $d_{max}(L)$ :

5.  $\log(d_{max}(L)) = m_0 - M_{\odot} - 25 + 2.5 \log(L/L_{\odot}) - k(z)$ 

where  $d_{max}(L)$  is in Mpc.

$$(M = M_{\odot} - 2.5 \log(L/L_{\odot}))$$

 $m < m_0, \Omega$ , or more complicated... A given galaxy (L or M) can be detected up to some maximum distance  $d_{max}(L)$ :

5.  $\log(d_{max}(L)) = m_0 - M_{\odot} - 25 + 2.5 \log(L/L_{\odot}) - k(z)$ 

where  $d_{max}(L)$  is in Mpc.

$$(M = M_{\odot} - 2.5 \log(L/L_{\odot}))$$

Defines a volume:

$$d < d_{max}(L) \rightarrow V_{max} = 1/3\Omega d_{max}^3$$

 $\phi(L)$ 

 $\phi(L)$ 

 $\phi(L)dL$ : average number of galaxies in the range L, L + dL per unit volume (Mpc<sup>-3</sup>)

 $\phi(L)$ 

 $\phi(L)dL$ : average number of galaxies in the range L, L + dL per unit volume (Mpc<sup>-3</sup>) In a given survey:

 $\Delta N = \phi(L) dL V_{max}(L)$ 

 $\phi(L)$ 

 $\phi(L)dL$ : average number of galaxies in the range L, L + dL per unit volume (Mpc<sup>-3</sup>) In a given survey:

$$\Delta N = \phi(L) dL V_{max}(L)$$

estimation:

$$\phi(L)dL = \Delta N/V_{max}(L)$$

Inhomogeneities are to be corrected for.

#### **Schechter expression**

Classical universal form (Schechter, 1974)
#### **Schechter expression**

#### Classical universal form (Schechter, 1974)

$$\phi(L)dL = \phi^* \left(\frac{L}{L^*}\right)^{\alpha} \exp(-L/L^*) \frac{dL}{L^*}$$

# $\phi(L)dL$ : measurements

Galaxies and Clusters – 2nd June 2014 – p.18/28

# $\phi(L)dL$ : measurements 2dF



Figure 1. Luminosity function from the Stromlo-APM redshift survey. Fits in the three panels are to (a) the full galaxy sample, (b) the early-type subsample, and (c) the late-type galaxy subsample. The solid curves show Schechter function ( $\eta = 1$ ). The dotted lines in each panel show how the fits change for the selected values of  $\eta$  with the other parameter assuming the same values estimated in the quoted reference. In all these cases, the exponential Schechter function is a critical curve within a large class of possible power law distributions. Curves with  $\eta > 1$  fall off before the exponential while those with  $\eta < 1$  fall more slowly.

# $\phi(L)dL$ : measurements

Galaxies and Clusters – 2nd June 2014 – p.19/28

# $\phi(L)dL$ : measurements SDSS



# $\phi(L)dL$ : measurements

Galaxies and Clusters – 2nd June 2014 – p.20/28

## $\phi(L)dL$ : measurements

2dF and SDSS beat everything else...

### $\phi(L)dL$ : measurements

#### 2dF and SDSS beat everything else... 2dF:

$$\phi^* = 0.0167 (h^{-1} \text{Mpc})^{-3}$$
$$M^* = -19.66 (\pm 0.07) + 5. \log(h)$$
$$\alpha = -1.21 \pm 0.03$$

#### $\phi(L)dL$ : measurements

2dF and SDSS beat everything else... 2dF:

 $\phi^* = 0.0167 (h^{-1} \text{Mpc})^{-3}$  $M^* = -19.66 (\pm 0.07) + 5. \log(h)$  $\alpha = -1.21 \pm 0.03$ 

SDSS:

 $\phi^* = 0.009 (h^{-1} \text{Mpc})^{-3}$  $M^* = -20.73 (\pm 0.07) + 5. \log(h)$  $\alpha = -1.23 \pm 0.02$ 

(beware of the band used)

Number density of Galaxies:

Number density of Galaxies:

$$\overline{n} = \int_0^{+\infty} \phi(L) dL$$

Number density of Galaxies:

$$\overline{n} = \int_0^{+\infty} \phi(L) dL$$

$$=\phi^* \int_0^{+\infty} x^\alpha \exp(-x) dx = \phi^* \Gamma(\alpha+1)$$

diverges for  $\alpha < -1!!!$ 

Number density of Galaxies:

$$\overline{n} = \int_0^{+\infty} \phi(L) dL$$

$$=\phi^* \int_0^{+\infty} x^\alpha \exp(-x) dx = \phi^* \Gamma(\alpha + 1)$$

diverges for  $\alpha < -1!!!$ Mean luminosity density:

$$\overline{\rho}_L = \int_0^{+\infty} L\phi(L)dL = \phi^* L^* \Gamma(\alpha + 2)$$

Number density of Galaxies:

$$\overline{n} = \int_0^{+\infty} \phi(L) dL$$

$$=\phi^* \int_0^{+\infty} x^\alpha \exp(-x) dx = \phi^* \Gamma(\alpha + 1)$$

diverges for  $\alpha < -1!!!$ Mean luminosity density:

$$\overline{\rho}_L = \int_0^{+\infty} L\phi(L)dL = \phi^* L^* \Gamma(\alpha + 2)$$

in short:  $\overline{n} = \phi^*$  and  $L = L^*$ 

Mean luminosity density:  $\overline{\rho}_L \sim 210^8 h L_{\odot} Mpc^{-3}$ 

Mean luminosity density:  $\overline{\rho}_L \sim 210^8 h L_{\odot} Mpc^{-3}$ M/L ratio:

$$\overline{\rho}_m = \Omega_m \overline{\rho}_c = (M/L) \times \overline{\rho}_L$$

with  $\overline{\rho}_c \sim 2.810^{11} h^2 Mpc^{-3}$ 

Mean luminosity density:  $\overline{\rho}_L \sim 210^8 h L_{\odot} Mpc^{-3}$ M/L ratio:

$$\overline{\rho}_m = \Omega_m \overline{\rho}_c = (M/L) \times \overline{\rho}_L$$

with  $\overline{\rho}_c \sim 2.810^{11} h^2 Mpc^{-3}$  n.a.

$$(M/L)_c = \frac{\rho_c}{\overline{\rho}_L} \sim 1400h$$

Mean stellar mass density:

 $M/L)_{Sp} \sim 2 - 5h$ 

and

 $M/L)_E \sim 10 - 20h$ 

Mean stellar mass density:

 $M/L)_{Sp} \sim 2 - 5h$ 

and

 $(M/L)_E \sim 10 - 20h$ 

leading to roughly:

 $M/L)_* \sim 7$ 

Mean stellar mass density:

 $M/L)_{Sp} \sim 2 - 5h$ 

and

 $M/L)_E \sim 10 - 20h$ 

leading to roughly:

 $M/L)_* \sim 7$ 

i.e.

 $\Omega_* \sim 0.005$ 

Galaxies and Clusters – 2nd June 2014 – p.23/28

#### **Dark Matter**

Robust evidence I: galaxy rotation curves Typical galaxy NGC 3198 (may mean best

case...)



#### Dark Matter NGC 3198: optical + HI view



#### Dark Matter NGC 3198 : rotation curve



Dark Matter: Galaxies "Observed" amount of dark matter in galaxies:

 $\frac{M_{tot}}{M_{vis}} \approx 5 - 10$ 

SO:

 $\Omega_{gal} \approx 0.025 - 0.05$ 

Note : we do not know how far galaxies extend.

• In practice one is dealing with a sample which is not complete for the selection one may wish to have.

- In practice one is dealing with a sample which is not complete for the selection one may wish to have.
- For instance any survey is flux limited with a limit which may vary accross the sky  $\rightarrow f_l(\alpha, \theta)$ .

- In practice one is dealing with a sample which is not complete for the selection one may wish to have.
- For instance any survey is flux limited with a limit which may vary accross the sky  $\rightarrow f_l(\alpha, \theta)$ .
- Some objects may be missed by the identification procedure (galaxies labelled as stars, ...)

- In practice one is dealing with a sample which is not complete for the selection one may wish to have.
- For instance any survey is flux limited with a limit which may vary accross the sky  $\rightarrow f_l(\alpha, \theta)$ .
- Some objects may be missed by the identification procedure (galaxies labelled as stars, ...)
- The selection function s(α, θ, z) should specify the probability that a galaxy is actually selected in the survey.

- In practice one is dealing with a sample which is not complete for the selection one may wish to have.
- For instance any survey is flux limited with a limit which may vary accross the sky  $\rightarrow f_l(\alpha, \theta)$ .
- Some objects may be missed by the identification procedure (galaxies labelled as stars, ...)
- The selection function s(α, θ, z) should specify the probability that a galaxy is actually selected in the survey.
- This includes uncertainties in the photometry, redshift...

• For instance from a purely flux selected survey:

$$s(z) = \frac{n(>L(z))}{n(>L_0)}$$

• For instance from a purely flux selected survey:

$$s(z) = \frac{n(>L(z))}{n(>L_0)}$$

This also defines the completeness for a sample of galaxies.

• For instance from a purely flux selected survey:

$$s(z) = \frac{n(>L(z))}{n(>L_0)}$$

This also defines the completeness for a sample of galaxies.

• Purity:

$$p(z) = 1 - \frac{\tilde{n}(>L(z))_{\text{false}}}{n(>L_0)}$$

#### Large Scale Structure

# Large Scale Structure > $1925 = \sim$ Galaxy distribution on large scale.


Starting hypothesis: point process with average galaxy number density  $\overline{n}$ 

Starting hypothesis: point process with average galaxy number density  $\overline{n}$ 

Uniform distribution:

 $dP = \overline{n}dV = dN$ 

Starting hypothesis: point process with average galaxy number density  $\overline{n}$ 

Uniform distribution:

### $dP = \overline{n}dV = dN$

By definition a distribution with correlations:

 $dP = \overline{n}dV(1 + \dots)$ 

Starting hypothesis: point process with average galaxy number density  $\overline{n}$ 

Uniform distribution:

### $dP = \overline{n}dV = dN$

By definition a distribution with correlations:

 $dP = \overline{n}dV(1 + \xi(\vec{r}))$ 

Starting hypothesis: point process with average galaxy number density  $\overline{n}$ 

Uniform distribution:

### $dP = \overline{n}dV = dN$

By definition a distribution with correlations:

$$dP = \overline{n}dV(1 + \xi(\vec{r}))$$

Isotropy:

 $\xi(\vec{r}) = \xi(r)$ 

### Symetric way:

# $dP_{12} = \overline{n}^2 dV_1 dV_2 (1 + )$

### Symetric way:

# $dP_{12} = \overline{n}^2 dV_1 dV_2 (1 + \xi(r_{12}))$

### Symetric way:

# $dP_{12} = \overline{n}^2 dV_1 dV_2 (1 + \xi(r_{12}))$ = $\langle dN_1 dN_2 \rangle$

$$\xi(r) = \frac{<(\rho(x+r) - \overline{\rho})(\rho(x) - \overline{\rho}) >}{\overline{\rho}^2}$$

$$\xi(r) = \frac{\langle (\rho(x+r) - \overline{\rho})(\rho(x) - \overline{\rho}) \rangle}{\overline{\rho}^2}$$

Identically :  $\delta(x) = \frac{(\rho(x) - \overline{\rho})}{\overline{\rho}}$ 

$$\xi(r) = <\delta(x+r)\delta(x) >$$

$$\xi(r) = \frac{<(\rho(x+r) - \overline{\rho})(\rho(x) - \overline{\rho}) >}{\overline{\rho}^2}$$

Identically :  $\delta(x) = \frac{(\rho(x) - \overline{\rho})}{\overline{\rho}}$ 

$$\xi(r) = <\delta(x+r)\delta(x)>$$

 $\xi(r)$  is the correlation function of the point process  $n(x) \propto \rho(x)$  (Poisson model).

$$\xi(r) = \frac{<(\rho(x+r) - \overline{\rho})(\rho(x) - \overline{\rho}) >}{\overline{\rho}^2}$$

Identically :  $\delta(x) = \frac{(\rho(x) - \overline{\rho})}{\overline{\rho}}$ 

$$\xi(r) = <\delta(x+r)\delta(x) >$$

 $\xi(r)$  is the correlation function of the point process  $n(x) \propto \rho(x)$  (Poisson model). Some point process may not be the Poisson model of any continuous field  $\rho(x)$ 

3 points

 $dP_{123} = \overline{n}^3 dV_1 dV_2 dV_3 (1 + \xi(r_{12}) + \xi(r_{23}) + \xi(r_{31}))$ 

+  $\zeta(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{31})) = \langle dN_1 dN_2 dN_3 \rangle$ 

3 points

 $dP_{123} = \overline{n}^3 dV_1 dV_2 dV_3 (1 + \xi(r_{12}) + \xi(r_{23}) + \xi(r_{31}))$ 

 $+ \zeta(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{31})) = \langle dN_1 dN_2 dN_3 \rangle$ 

#### 4 points

 $dP_{1234} = \overline{n}^4 dV_1 dV_2 dV_3 dV_4 \left(1 + \xi + \dots\right)$ 

+  $\zeta(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{31})$  + ... +  $\eta(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{34}, r_{41}))$ 

3 points

 $dP_{123} = \overline{n}^3 dV_1 dV_2 dV_3 (1 + \xi(r_{12}) + \xi(r_{23}) + \xi(r_{31}))$ 

 $+ \zeta(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{31})) = \langle dN_1 dN_2 dN_3 \rangle$ 

### 4 points

$$dP_{1234} = \overline{n}^4 dV_1 dV_2 dV_3 dV_4 \left(1 + \xi + \dots\right)$$

+  $\zeta(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{31})$  + ... +  $\eta(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{34}, r_{41}))$ 

### N points...

 $dP_{12...N} = \overline{n}^p dV_1 dV_2 ... dV_N (1 + ... + \xi^N (r_{12}, ... r_{N1}))$ 

3 points

 $dP_{123} = \overline{n}^3 dV_1 dV_2 dV_3 (1 + \xi(r_{12}) + \xi(r_{23}) + \xi(r_{31}))$ 

+  $\zeta(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{31})) = \langle dN_1 dN_2 dN_3 \rangle$ 

### 4 points

$$dP_{1234} = \overline{n}^4 dV_1 dV_2 dV_3 dV_4 \left(1 + \xi + \dots\right)$$

+  $\zeta(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{31})$  + ... +  $\eta(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{34}, r_{41}))$ 

#### N points...

 $dP_{12...N} = \overline{n}^p dV_1 dV_2 ... dV_N (1 + ... + \xi^N (r_{12}, ... r_{N1}))$ 

#### provide all statistical information on the distribution

# **Power Spectrum I**

$$\delta(\vec{x}) = \int \delta(\vec{k}) \exp(i\vec{k}\vec{x}) d^3\vec{k}$$

# **Power Spectrum I**

$$\delta(\vec{x}) = \int \delta(\vec{k}) \exp(i\vec{k}\vec{x}) d^3\vec{k}$$

#### leading to :

$$\xi(r) = \int \delta(\vec{k}) \delta^*(\vec{k}) \exp(i\vec{k}\vec{x}) d^3\vec{k}$$

## **Power Spectrum I**

$$\delta(\vec{x}) = \int \delta(\vec{k}) \exp(i\vec{k}\vec{x}) d^3\vec{k}$$

#### leading to :

$$\xi(r) = \int \delta(\vec{k}) \delta^*(\vec{k}) \exp(i\vec{k}\vec{x}) d^3\vec{k}$$

So the power spectrum:

$$P(\vec{k}) = \delta(\vec{k})\delta^*(\vec{k})$$

is the FT of  $\xi(r)$ .

## **Power Spectrum II**

 $\xi(r) = \int P(k)k^2 \frac{\sin(kr)}{kr} dk$