



#### Overview



### RECAP

#### Separability = Tractability Basis = Good



## APPLICATIONS

The advantage of the modal approach is in the power of an orthonormal basis.

This allows us to do much more than estimation

- Simulations
- Inverse covariance
- Reconstruction
- Contaminants

## SIMULATION

This method can also be used to simulate maps with a given bispectrum and trispectrum

$$a_{lm} = a_{lm}^G + \frac{1}{6}F_{NL}a_{lm}^B + \frac{1}{24}G_{NL}a_{lm}^T$$

$$a_{lm}^{B} = \sum_{l_{i}m_{i}} \int Y_{l_{1}m_{1}}Y_{l_{2}m_{2}}Y_{l_{3}m_{3}}b_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}}\frac{a_{l_{2}m_{2}}^{G}}{C_{l_{2}}}\frac{a_{l_{3}m_{3}}^{G}}{C_{l_{3}}}$$
$$a_{lm}^{T} = \sum_{l_{i}m_{i}} \int Y_{l_{1}m_{1}}Y_{l_{2}m_{2}}Y_{l_{3}m_{3}}Y_{l_{4}m_{4}}t_{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}l_{4}}\frac{a_{l_{2}m_{2}}^{G}}{C_{l_{2}}}\frac{a_{l_{3}m_{3}}^{G}}{C_{l_{3}}}\frac{a_{l_{4}m_{4}}^{G}}{C_{l_{4}}}$$

Note: If you want to use both simultaneously you need to calculate the trispectrum minus the spurious trispectrum generated from the bispectrum squared

## SIMULATION

Neither part interferes with the other. Using the expansion the nonGaussian contributio  $a_{lm}^B = \sum_n \bar{\alpha}_n^Q \frac{q_l^{\{i\}}}{v_l \sqrt{C_l}} \int c$  $M^{i}(\mathbf{\hat{n}}) = \sum_{lm} \frac{q_{l}^{i} Y_{lm}(\mathbf{\hat{n}}) a_{lm}^{G}}{v_{l} \sqrt{C_{l}}}$ 

6

## SIMULATION

To test the accuracy of the method we simulated maps using both the primordial and CMB decompositions and then applied both the primordial and CMB estimators to both sets to produce consistent results

	Ideal simulations		WMAP5 simulations	
	Average	St. Dev.	Average	St. Dev.
Primordial estimator	292.9	104.8	297.7	152.1
Late-time estimator	300.6	104.9	278.7	160
Internal st. dev.	38.5		102.6	



In general it is very hard to calculate the inverse covariance matrix. If we perform the same modal decomposition on the covariance

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \mathcal{R}\mathcal{A} \\ \beta &= \mathcal{R}\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{R}^T \beta \\ \zeta &= \mathcal{R}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{R}^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \frac{\alpha^{T} \zeta^{-1} \beta}{\alpha^{T} \zeta^{-1} \alpha}$$
$$= \frac{(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{A})^{T} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathcal{R}^{T} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A}^{T} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathcal{R}^{T} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A}} = \frac{\mathcal{A}^{T} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{A}^{T} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{A}}$$

Hang on, we defined  $\zeta = \mathcal{RCR}^T$  but used

$$\zeta^{-1} = \mathcal{R}\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{R}^T$$

While R is rectangular, it does have a right inverse,  $\mathcal{RR}^T = I$ , and as it's orthonormal the inverse is just its transpose

$$\zeta^{-1} = \left(\mathcal{RCR}^T\right)^{-1} = \mathcal{R}\left(\mathcal{C}^{-1} + \mathcal{Z}_{\perp}\right)\mathcal{R}^T$$

and  $\mathcal{Z}_{\perp}$  is an arbitrary matrix which is perpendicular to the subspace and can be ignored

We can understand the effect of the projection by considering

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{\parallel} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{\parallel} \\ \mathcal{B}_{\perp} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{C}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{C}_{\parallel}^{-1} & \mathcal{C}_{\times}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{T} & \mathcal{C}_{\perp}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{\parallel} &\equiv \mathcal{P}\mathcal{X} \ \mathcal{X}_{\perp} &\equiv (I-\mathcal{P})\mathcal{X} \ \mathcal{M}_{\parallel} &\equiv \mathcal{P}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{P} \ \mathcal{M}_{\perp} &\equiv (I-\mathcal{P})\mathcal{M}(I-\mathcal{P}) \ \mathcal{M}_{\times} &\equiv \mathcal{P}\mathcal{M}(I-\mathcal{P}) \end{aligned}$$

We can understand the effect of the projection by considering

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\parallel} \left( \mathcal{C}_{\parallel}^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{\parallel} + \mathcal{C}_{\times}^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{\perp} \right)}{\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}^{T} \mathcal{C}_{\parallel}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{\parallel}}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = rac{\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}\mathcal{C}_{\parallel}^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{\parallel}}{\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}^{T}\mathcal{C}_{\parallel}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}}$$

The difference is the projection of contamination from the orthogonal space into the subspace

If we remember our discussion of the linear term we proved that

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{6} \left< \beta \beta^T \right>$$

$$\begin{split} \beta_{n} \beta_{n'} \rangle &= \sum_{l_{i}m_{i}l'_{i}m'_{i}} \left\langle \left( \mathcal{R}_{nl_{1}l_{2}l_{3}} \frac{a_{l_{1}m_{1}}a_{l_{2}m_{2}}a_{l_{3}m_{3}} - 3 C_{l_{1}m_{1},l_{2}m_{2}}a_{l_{3}m_{3}}}{\sqrt{C_{l_{1}}C_{l_{2}}C_{l_{3}}}} \right) \\ &\times \left( \frac{a_{l'_{1}m'_{1}}a_{l'_{2}m'_{2}}a_{l'_{3}m'_{3}} - 3 C_{l'_{1}m'_{1},l'_{2}m'_{2}}a_{l'_{3}m'_{3}}}{\sqrt{C_{l'_{1}}C_{l'_{2}}C_{l'_{3}}}} \mathcal{R}_{nl'_{1}l'_{2}l'_{3}}} \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{l_{i}m_{i}l'_{i}m'_{i}} \frac{\mathcal{R}_{nl_{1}l_{2}l_{3}}\mathcal{R}_{n'l'_{1}l'_{2}l'_{3}}}{\sqrt{C_{l_{1}}C_{l_{2}}C_{l_{3}}C_{l'_{1}}C_{l'_{2}}C_{l'_{3}}}} \left[ 6 \left\langle a_{l_{1}m_{1}}a_{l'_{1}m'_{1}} \right\rangle \left\langle a_{l_{2}m_{2}}a_{l'_{2}m'_{2}} \right\rangle \left\langle a_{l_{3}m_{3}}a_{l'_{3}m'_{3}} \right\rangle \right. \\ &+ \left. 9 \left\langle a_{l_{1}m_{1}}a_{l_{2}m_{2}} \right\rangle \left\langle a_{l'_{1}m'_{1}}a_{l'_{2}m'_{2}} \right\rangle \left\langle a_{l_{3}m_{3}}a_{l'_{3}m'_{3}} \right\rangle - 9 C_{l_{1}m_{1},l_{2}m_{2}} \left\langle a_{l'_{1}m'_{1}}a_{l'_{2}m'_{2}} \right\rangle \left\langle a_{l_{3}m_{3}}a_{l'_{3}m'_{3}} \right\rangle \\ &- \left. 9 \left\langle a_{l_{1}m_{1}}a_{l_{2}m_{2}} \right\rangle C_{l'_{1}m'_{1},l'_{2}m'_{2}} \left\langle a_{l_{3}m_{3}}a_{l'_{3}m'_{3}} \right\rangle + 9 C_{l_{1}m_{1},l_{2}m_{2}} C_{l'_{1}m'_{1},l'_{2}m'_{2}} \left\langle a_{l_{3}m_{3}}a_{l'_{3}m'_{3}} \right\rangle + \ldots \right] \\ &= 6 \sum_{l_{i}m_{i}l'_{i}m'_{i}} \mathcal{R}_{nl_{1}l_{2}l_{3}} \frac{C_{l_{1}m_{1},l'_{1}m'_{1}}C_{l_{2}m_{2},l'_{2}m'_{2}}C_{l_{3}m_{3},l'_{3}m'_{3}}}{\sqrt{C_{l_{1}}C_{l_{2}}C_{l_{3}}C_{l'_{1}}C_{l'_{2}}C_{l'_{3}}}} \mathcal{R}_{n'l'_{1}l'_{2}l'_{3}} \\ &= 6\mathcal{RCR}^{T} \end{split}$$

Also as all covariance matrices are symmetric positive definite they have a Cholesky decomposition

$$\zeta = \tilde{\lambda} \, \tilde{\lambda}^T$$

And we can absorb the covariance into our modes. This amounts to a re-orthogonalisation to an uncorrelated orthonormal basis

$$\alpha' = \tilde{\lambda}^{-1} \alpha \quad \beta' = \tilde{\lambda}^{-1} \beta$$
$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\alpha'^T \beta'}{\alpha'^T \alpha'}, \quad \zeta' = I$$





Monday, 5 September 2011

We have  $\langle \beta \rangle = \alpha$  so can reconstruct the best fit bispectrum to the data by using the  $\beta$  as our  $\alpha$ . If we have constructed a primordial basis as well then we can use  $\Gamma$  to find the best fit primordial bispectrum



First (and last) exercise: What primordial shape do you need to fit this?







Monday, 5 September 2011

#### Solution?







This is a *a posteriori* method. So we must be very careful with our interpretation. How do we know if this is a real bispectrum or if it is just a reconstruction of noise?





We can perform a blind search on the amplitude



 $F_{NL}^2 = 6N$  (Gaussian)  $\delta F_{NL}^2 = 6\sqrt{2N}$  (Gaussian)



19

As we expect the covariance matrix to be the identity we can use principle component analysis to identify the shape of contaminants.

We first calculate the covariance matrix for beta from simulations

$$V\zeta V^T = D$$

And then find the rotation which diagonalises it. This is equivalent to performing an eigen decomposition. The result is that you obtain a new orthonormal basis but now your modes are uncorrelated and ordered from greatest to least variance.



#### WMAP inhomogeneous noise

Sheet3



Monday, 5 September 2011

#### WMAP Mask

Sheet2



#### Point sources

Sheet1



Monday, 5 September 2011

#### AFTERWORD: NG FRAGMENTATION

What to do with a new NG shape function?

I. Plot it in appropriate 2D or 3D coordinates



2. Check cross-correlation with other standard shapes

 $F(S,S') = \int_{\mathcal{V}_k} S(k_1,k_2,k_3) S'(k_1,k_2,k_3) \omega(k_1,k_2,k_3) d\mathcal{V}_k, \quad \text{arXiv:0812.3413}$ 

3. Normalise consistently relative to local shape using  $F_{\mathsf{NL}}$ 

$$F_{\rm NL} = \frac{1}{N\bar{N}_{\rm loc}} \sum_{l_i m_i} \mathcal{G}_{m_1 m_2 m_3}^{l_1 l_2 l_3} b_{l_1 l_2 l_3} \frac{a_{l_1 m_1} a_{l_2 m_2} a_{l_3 m_3}}{C_{l_1} C_{l_2} C_{l_3}} , \qquad \text{arXiv:0912.5516}$$

4. Predict/calculate standardised eigenmode coefficients

- await late-time and primordial mode coefficient CMB constraints

## CMB PIPELINES



### CMB conclusions

- NG calculational techniques well-developed
- Growing number of primordial NG shapes
- No significant evidence for CMB NG ... yet
- General modal WMAP bispectrum constraints
- Useful for characterising contaminants, secondaries etc
- First near-optimal WMAP trispectrum constraints
- Planck analysis underway .... First Planck cosmology papers due end 2012

### CMB conclusions

- NG calculational techniques well-developed
- Growing number of primordial NG shapes
- No significant evidence for CMB NG ... yet
- General modal WMAP bispectrum constraints
- Useful for characterising contaminants, secondaries etc
- First near-optimal WMAP trispectrum constraints
- Planck analysis underway .... First Planck cosmology papers due end 2012

And now for something completely different:

• Postscript on large-scale structure ....

<u>THEORY</u> Space of (primordial) isotropic polyspectra (k-space)



Expand <u>any</u> model with primordial modes

<u>OBSERVATION</u> Space V *of all* possible polyspectra



<u>THEORY</u> Space of (primordial) isotropic polyspectra (k-space)



Expand <u>any</u> model with primordial modes

<u>OBSERVATION</u> Space V *of all* possible polyspectra



THEORY Space of (primordial) isotropic polyspectra (k-space) Mode transfer  $k_3$ (0, K, K)functions  $k_2$ (K,0,K)K (K, K, 0)0  $k_1$ K

Expand <u>any</u> model with primordial modes







Expand <u>any</u> model with primordial modes

Monday, 5 September 2011



Expand <u>any</u> model with primordial modes

Filter with sufficient separable eigenmodes



# Large-scale structure



1.000

# Large-scale structure





In practice, challenging systematics
 E.g. evolution, stars, sky brightness, color offset

 Fully nonlinear analysis required
 Gravitational nonlinearity and bias effects
 appear in higher order polyspectra
 Computationally intensive: N-body sims-based

### Approaches to LSS Non-Gaussianity

#### Direct calculation of higher-order correlators or polyspectra

Venerable history - Groth & Peebles 1977; see Liguori, Sefusatti et al, 2010 review. Real-space correlators tackled on SDSS, Wigglez etc datasets see e.g. Wigglez poster. Computationally challenging - operations naively scale as  $N^{p}$  (p polyspectra order)  $\frac{\Delta b}{b^{L,G}} = \beta(k) \frac{\Delta_{c}(z)}{D(z)} \qquad \delta(k) = \mathcal{M}_{R}(k) \Phi(k) \qquad \alpha = k_{1}^{2} + k^{2} + 2k_{1}k\mu$ Abundance of our operations interesting, controversit set estimated of k and kAmplification of galaxy bias in  $P_g(k)$ : 10<sup>1</sup> 🖻 100  $\frac{\Delta b}{b^{L,G}} = \beta(k) \frac{\Delta_c(z)}{D(z)}$ local  $10^{-1}$  $\underset{Design{black}{l}}{\text{Peak-l}}\boldsymbol{\beta}(k) = \frac{\Delta_c(z)}{D(z)} \frac{1}{8\pi^2 \sigma_R^2 \mathcal{M}_R(k)} \int dk_1 \quad \text{if } \quad 10^{-2}$ Enfolded template  $10^{-3}$ 10<sup>1</sup> But also pertains to galaxy bist 10-4 100 Bispectrum S/N wins for large Equilateral  $10^{-1}$ see e.g. Sefusatti et al, 2010 etc etc 0.0100 0.1000 0.0010 0.0001 k [h/Mpc] ≝ 10-2 È Verde & Matarresse,

Monday, 5 September 2011

### Approaches to LSS Non-Gaussianity

#### Direct calculation of higher-order correlators or polyspectra

Venerable history - Groth & Peebles 1977; see Liguori, Sefusatti et al, 2010 review. Real-space correlators tackled on SDSS, Wigglez etc datasets see e.g. Wigglez poster.  $\bar{n} = 9.5 \times 10^{-5} \ (\mathrm{Mpc}/h)^{-3}$ Amplification of galaxy bias in  $P_g(k)$ :  $V = 2.4^3 \; ({\rm Gpc}/h)^3$  $(S/N) \rightarrow (S/N)/3.4$  $\frac{\Delta b}{b^{L,G}} = \beta(k) \frac{\Delta_c(z)}{D(z)}$ 10 cumulative S/N  $\underset{Desjac}{\text{Peak-l}}\beta(k) = \frac{\Delta_c(z)}{D(z)} \frac{1}{8\pi^2 \sigma_R^2 \mathcal{M}_R(k)} \int dk_1$ 10<sup>1</sup> But also pertains to galaxy bist from P(k) from Bispectrum 100 Bispectrum S/N wins for large Scoccimarro et al, 2011  $10^{-1}$ see e.g. Sefusatti et al, 2010 etc etc 0.02 0.06 0.08 0.12 0.04 0.1 0.14 0.16 0.18 k<sub>max</sub> [ Mpc / h ]  $10^{-2}$ Saturday, May 14, 201 Monday, 5 September 2011

#### Large-scale structure polyspectra

Fergusson, Regan & EPS, arXiv:1008.1730

#### Bispectrum for 3D galaxy/matter density distribution

$$\langle \delta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \delta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \delta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) B(k_1, k_2, k_3)$$

General large-scale structure estimator

$$\mathcal{E} = \int \frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) B(k_1, k_2, k_3)}{P(k_1) P(k_2) P(k_3)} \left[ \delta^{obs}_{\mathbf{k}_1} \delta^{obs}_{\mathbf{k}_2} \delta^{obs}_{\mathbf{k}_3} - 3 \langle \delta^{sim}_{\mathbf{k}_1} \delta^{sim}_{\mathbf{k}_2} \rangle \delta^{obs}_{\mathbf{k}_3} \right]$$

Defines inner product on tetrapyd

$$\langle B_i, B_j \rangle \equiv \frac{V}{\pi} \int_{\mathcal{V}_B} dk_1 dk_2 dk_3 \, \frac{k_1 k_2 k_3 \, B_i(k_1, k_2, k_3) \, B_j(k_1, k_2, k_3)}{P(k_1) P(k_2) P(k_3)}$$

Computationally very demanding Operations ~  $10^3 \times L^6 \sim 10^{21}$ 



#### Large-scale structure polyspectra

Fergusson, Regan & EPS, arXiv:1008.1730

#### Bispectrum for 3D galaxy/matter density distribution

$$\langle \delta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \delta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \delta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) B(k_1, k_2, k_3)$$

General large-scale structure estimator

$$\mathcal{E} = \int \frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) B(k_1, k_2, k_3)}{P(k_1) P(k_2) P(k_3)} \left[ \delta^{obs}_{\mathbf{k}_1} \delta^{obs}_{\mathbf{k}_2} \delta^{obs}_{\mathbf{k}_3} - 3 \langle \delta^{sim}_{\mathbf{k}_1} \delta^{sim}_{\mathbf{k}_2} \rangle \delta^{obs}_{\mathbf{k}_3} \right]$$

Defines inner product on tetrapyd

$$\langle B_i, B_j \rangle \equiv \frac{V}{\pi} \int_{\mathcal{V}_B} dk_1 dk_2 dk_3 \, \frac{k_1 k_2 k_3 \, B_i(k_1, k_2, k_3) \, B_j(k_1, k_2, k_3)}{P(k_1) P(k_2) P(k_3)}$$

Computationally very demanding Operations ~  $10^3 \times L^6 \sim 10^{21}$ 



#### Aside: 3D LSS trispectrum estimator



## LSS modal estimator

#### Separable mode expansion for bispectrum (or trispectrum)

 $\frac{B(k_1, k_2, k_3) v(k_1) v(k_2) v(k_3)}{\sqrt{P(k_1)P(k_2)P(k_3)}} = \sum \alpha_n^{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}_n(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ 

arXiv:1008.1730 arXiv:1108.3813

The bi-/trispectrum estimator becomes simply

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{n} \alpha_n^{\mathcal{Q}} \beta_n^{\mathcal{Q}}$$

with coefficients from data filtered by individual modes - Operations  $\sim L^3$ 

$$\beta_n^{\mathcal{Q}} = \int d^3x \, M_r(\mathbf{x}) \, M_s(\mathbf{x}) \, M_t(\mathbf{x}) \qquad M_r(\mathbf{x}) = \int d^3k \frac{\delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{obs} q_r(k) \, e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}}{\sqrt{kP(k)}}$$

Contributions from nonlinear gravity - characterise with N-body simulations

$$\omega B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \sum_n (\alpha_n^G + F_{\rm NL} \alpha_n^B + \tau_{NL} \alpha_n^T) \mathcal{R}_n(k_1, k_2, k_3)$$

Deploy a phenomenological estimator  $\mathcal{E}(F_{\rm NL}) = \sum (\alpha_n (F_{\rm NL}) - \beta_n)^2$ <u>Computational breakthrough</u> - general analysis bi-/trispectrum ~  $10^3 \times L^3 \sim 10^{12}$ 

## LSS modal estimator

#### Separable mode expansion for bispectrum (or trispectrum)

 $\frac{B(k_1, k_2, k_3) v(k_1) v(k_2) v(k_3)}{\sqrt{P(k_1)P(k_2)P(k_3)}} = \sum \alpha_n^{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}_n(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ 

arXiv:1008.1730 arXiv:1108.3813

The bi-/trispectrum estimator becomes simply

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{n} \alpha_n^{\mathcal{Q}} \beta_n^{\mathcal{Q}}$$

with coefficients from data filtered by individual modes - Operations  $\sim L^3$ 

$$\beta_n^{\mathcal{Q}} = \int d^3x \, M_r(\mathbf{x}) \, M_s(\mathbf{x}) \, M_t(\mathbf{x}) \qquad M_r(\mathbf{x}) = \int d^3k \frac{\delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{obs} q_r(k) \, e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}}{\sqrt{kP(k)}}$$

Contributions from nonlinear gravity - characterise with N-body simulations

$$\omega B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \sum_n (\alpha_n^G + F_{\mathrm{NL}} \alpha_n^B + \tau_{NL} \alpha_n^T) \mathcal{R}_n(k_1, k_2, k_3)$$

Deploy a phenomenological estimator  $\mathcal{E}(F_{\rm NL}) = \sum (\alpha_n (F_{\rm NL}) - \beta_n)^2$ <u>Computational breakthrough</u> - general analysis bi-/trispectrum ~  $|0^3 \times L^3 \sim |0^{12}|$ 

## Universal LSS Initial Conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arbitrary N-body i.c.s} \quad & \Phi = \Phi^G + \frac{1}{6} F_{\text{NL}} \Phi^B + \frac{1}{24} \tau_{NL} \Phi^T \\ \Phi^B(\mathbf{k}) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}''}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}'') B(k, k', k'') \Phi^G(\mathbf{k}') \Phi^G(\mathbf{k}'')}{P(k) P(k') + P(k') P(k'') + P(k) P(k'')} \\ = \sum_n \alpha_n \sqrt{\frac{P(k)}{k}} q_{\{r}(k) \int d^3 \mathbf{x} e^{i\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{x}} M_s(\mathbf{x}) M_{t\}}(\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned}$$

#### Universal LSS Initial Conditions Arbitrary N-body i.c.s $\Phi = \Phi^G + \frac{1}{6}F_{NL}\Phi^B + \frac{1}{24}\tau_{NL}\Phi^T$ Regan, Schmittfull, EPS & Fergusson, 1108.3813 $\Phi^{B}(\mathbf{k}) = \int \frac{d^{3}\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}\mathbf{k}''}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{(2\pi)^{3}\delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}'')B(k, k', k'')\Phi^{G}(\mathbf{k}')\Phi^{G}(\mathbf{k}'')}{P(k)P(k') + P(k')P(k'') + P(k)P(k'')},$ $=\sum \alpha_n \sqrt{\frac{P(k)}{k}} q_{\{r}(k) \int d^3 \mathbf{x} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} M_s(\mathbf{x}) M_{t\}}(\mathbf{x}),$ see also Verde et al. '10,'11 and Scoccimarro et al, '11 ... and trispectra $\Phi^T(\mathbf{k}) = \sum \bar{\alpha}_n^{\mathcal{Q}} q_r(k) \int d^3 \mathbf{x} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} M_s(\mathbf{x}) M_t(\mathbf{x}) M_u(\mathbf{x}).$ Highly efficient working bispectra & trispectra pipeline (w. estimators)

(1024<sup>3</sup> i.c. sims 1 hour on 6 cores)



#### CMB (or LSS) fingerprint Primordial non-Gaussianity 2000 0.9 1500 0.8-0.7 -0.6 0.5 0.4-1000 0.3 0.2 0.1 500 2000 1500 1000 500 500 1000 1500 0 2000 NS Fluxes Wrapped D7 Brane **Fundamental** Throat Theory RR Fluxes Anti D3 Branes **Observational Data**









